EXHIBIT C

RONALD KELEMAN

July 17, 2008

Page 1

RONALD KELEMAN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

____X

COPART,

Plaintiff,

-against- Case No. C072684 CW

CRUM & FORSTER INDEMNITY COMPANY, UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

---<u>-</u>-----X

AND RELATED COUNTER CLAIMS

DEPOSITION OF RONALD KELEMAN

New York, New York

Thursday, July 17, 2008

REPORTED BY: BARBARA R. ZELTMAN

Professional Stenographic Reporter

Job Number: 410523

Page 48 1 RONALD KELEMAN 2 And the credits that you are 0 3 referring to, was that something required by the industry or by Crum & Forster? 4 5 Α Well, it's actually by the industry, by State and then Crum & Forster. 6 7 During your time with Crum & Forster, one of the things that you were able 8 9 to do would be to cut and paste e-mails that came in on the Lotus Notes program into the 10 electronic claims file; is that right? 11 MS. MILLIKAN: Objection. 12 Lacks foundation. 13 But you can answer the question. 14 If I recall, yes, you could. 15 Α Is that one of the things that you 16 Q actually did during your time at Crum & 17 Forster? 18 19 Yes, I did. Α When you did that, would you make 20 sure to be as accurate and thorough as 21 22 possible when cutting and pasting? 23 Α Yes. And did you train the folks that 2.4 Q worked with you or tell the folks with you 25

		_	
		Page	49
1	RONALD KELEMAN		
2	that they should do the same?		
3	A Yes, I did.		
4	Q And the purpose of that would be to		
5	be able to have an accurate and truthful		
6	record in the electronic claims file?		
7	A Correct.		
8	Q We talked about your time at AIG		
9	having general adjusters.		
10	Did you also have general		-
11	adjusters at Crum & Forster?		
12	A We had one.		!
13	Q And who was that during your time		
14	that you were there?		
15	A Ed Frank.		
16	Q And do you recall the Hurricane		
17	Wilma event during your time at Crum &		
18	Forster?		
19	A Sure do. Definitely.		
20	Q Do you recall an insurer named		
21	Copart making claims under its property		
22	policy for Hurricane Wilma damages?		
23	A Correct.		
24	Q You do recall that?		
25	A Yes, I do.		

			Page 84
1		RONALD KELEMAN	
2	Ç	Do you recall looking at this	
3	stat	ement of values in your review of this	
4	clai	.m?	
5	P	I would I have to say I probably	
6	did.		
7	Ç	But sitting here today, you don't	
8	know	one way or the other?	
9	P	No, I don't.	
10	Ç	Do you recall ever looking at,	
11	eith	ner electronically or in another file	
12	some	ewhere, any other version of a schedule of	
13	valu	es that would have related to the Copart	
14	Wiln	na claims?	
15	I	I don't recall.	
16		It's accurate to say that you would	
17	rely	on your senior claims handler personnel	
18	to r	make sure to pull the right policy and	
19	righ	nt SOV when handling a claim, right?	
20	<u>7</u>	Yes.	
21	· (So that when you looked at a claim	
22	file	e or audited a claim file, you had some	
23	leve	el of comfort that you were looking at the	
24	righ	nt policy and right SOV?	
25		A Correct. Right.	

Page 85 1 RONALD KELEMAN 2 But that didn't happen here, right? Q 3 Α With regards to? The right policy and the right SOV. 4 Q 5 Α Correct. Do you recall talking to anybody 6 Q 7 else, Mr. McCarthy, Mr. Kush, when it was discovered at some point in time that the 8 9 wrong policy and wrong SOV lived in the claim file? 10 Most likely if this occurred, it 11 Α would go on to Mr. Dennis McCarthy. And I 12 think, if I'm not mistaken, it would be they 13 had conversation, there was something with 14 Carlton and it probably did go on to Dennis 15 for review. 16 Do you know what action Mr. McCarthy 17 0 took, if any? 18 No, I don't. 19 Α Do you recall actually talking about 20 Q the issue with Mr. McCarthy? 21 Α No, I don't. 22 Do you recall actually talking about 23 Q the issue with Mr. Clarke? 24 You know, at that time, there was 25 Α

Page 121 RONALD KELEMAN 1 the outside that's not insurance, we have an 2 understanding what's happening with the case, 3 with the file. Do you recall giving any kind of Q specific instructions with how or when to cut 6 7 and paste e-mails? Α No, never. 8 Was there a working presumption that 9 0 e-mails relative to a claim should be put 10 into the electronic claim file? 11 Excuse me? Could you repeat that. 12 Α 13 Q Sure. Was there a working presumption 14 that e-mails relative to a claim should 15 be put into the electronic claim file? 16 I put all my notes in the file. So 17 Α I told everybody to put everything in the 18 file that they write. 19 And you would never alter anyone 20 Q 21 else's entry? 22 Α Never. And you would expect none of the 23 0 claims handlers would do that, either? 24 Correct. 25 Α

Page 122 RONALD KELEMAN 1 Are you aware that the text of at 2 least one e-mail in this case was actually 3 altered in the electronic claim file? 4 I did not know that. No. 5 Α Let's look at Claim 8. 6 Q You said Claim 8? 7 Α Claim 8. 8 Q 9 And I'm going to show you an 10 e-mail. This is Bates MS. PURI: 11 USFIC1040. 12 (Keleman Exhibit 124, E-mail, 13 USFIC-1040, was marked for 14 15 Identification.) I'm going to hand you a e-mail from 16 Q Mr. Petrillo to Mr. Clarke. In this e-mail 17 it says, "Good morning. Yes, I heard from 18 the chief financial officer of the insured 19 who claims he has a \$3 million loss. And 20 then I heard from our field adjuster who 21 thinks the insured is crazy. In any event, 22 I'm expecting a report from my field guy 23 shortly. Carl." 24 Then if you take a look at 25

RONALD KELEMAN

July 17, 2008

	Page 123
1 RONALD KELEMAN	
2 Claim 8, bottom half of the	page,
3 appears to be a cut and pas	te by
4 Mr. Petrillo of this e-mail	, correct?
5 A Correct.	
6 Q Except that there	are words missing
7 and words changed, right?	
8 A Correct.	
9 Q You would never app	prove of
sanitizing a claim file in	this matter, would
11 you?	
12 A Never.	
13 Q You didn't know that	at this had
14 happened before today, righ	t?
15 A Correct.	
16 Q Why wouldn't you a	pprove of
17 sanitizing a claim file like	e this?
18 A It's just you say	the facts. What
19 it is, you state it. That's	s how it goes into
20 the file.	
21 Q Because the truth	is the truth.
MS. MILLIKAN: The	re's no answer
23 to that question on the	record. The
24 question wasn't a question	on, so
25 MS. PURI: It wasn	't a question,

Page 125 1 RONALD KELEMAN 2 taken.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on 4 record. Time is 11:34 a.m. This is going to be the start of Tape Number 2. 5 Mr. Keleman, you would agree with me 6 7 that sanitizing a claims files is a dishonest thing to do? 8 9 Yes. Α And you agree with me that 10 Q sanitizing claims files is unfair to do? 11 12 Α Yes. It's unfair to the insured, right? 13 Q 14 Α Yes. We just spoke briefly off the 15 Q record. 16 17 It's accurate to say that you were leaving the company as the final 18 claims decision was being made on 19 Copart's Wilma claims, right? 20 Α Correct. 21 So that you were not intimately 22 0 involved in the final decision to deny 23 the the Yard 105 claim, right? 24 25 À Correct.

		Page	141
1	RONALD KELEMAN		
2	Q So in that event, adjusting the		
3	Yard 105 claim really had nothing to do with		
4	the overload of work based on the hurricane		
5	catastrophes?		
6	MS. MILLIKAN: Same objections.		
7	A Again, I'm only going on the facts		
8	we're speaking of. I think Carlton tried to		
9	expedite the claim with the information he		
10	had at that point because of the prior claim.		
11	So with regard to that, I don't think it's		
12	something that was you know.		
13	Q Right. And Mr. Clarke could have		
14	expedited the Yard 105 claim by simply		
1:5	getting the right SOV, right?		
16	A Correct.		
17	Q That wasn't something that should		
18	have taken a year, right?		
19	A Again, under the circumstances, no,		
20	it shouldn't have taken a year. But again.		
21	People were working 6 days a week, 12 hours a		
22	day, and limited information coming back to		
23	us from the outside vendors.		
24	Q But the information from the vendors		
25	had nothing to do with what existed on the		

		Page 142
1	RONALD KELEMAN	
2	right SOV, right?	
3	A Correct.	
4	MS. MILLIKAN: Objection.	
5	Argumentative. Calls for speculation.	
6	Mr. Keleman, may I remind you to	
7	wait for a moment before you answer the	
8	questions, please.	
9	Can we straighten out the record	
10	with a question and an objection and an	
11	answer.	
12	MS. PURI: We have the question	
13	and objection. You want the answer?	
14	MS. MILLIKAN: He answered it as	
15	well. That's why I'm not clear whether	·
16	the record reflects a question,	
17	objection and answer.	
18	MS. PURI: That's a good point.	
19	It doesn't.	-
20	Did you get his answer?	
21	It was a yes, but I don't know if	
22	it	
23	MS. MILLIKAN: I thought it was a	
24	no.	
25	MS. PURI: Here we go.	